

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,129	03/16/2001	Kingsum Chow	42390P10466	6517
8791 7590 11/14/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
SUNNYVALE	, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			(\)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/811,129	CHOW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas B. Blair	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M a, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x parte Quayle, 1935 €	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16,18-25,27-33,35-38 and 40-</u>	.50 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-25, 27-33, 35-38, and 40-49</u> is/are	e rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	.	

09/811,129 Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/16/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 18-25, 27-33, 35-38, and 40-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 18-25 and 27-30 are directed towards an apparatus comprised only of a computer readable medium (The processor mentioned in the claim is not claimed as being part of the apparatus). Page 13, line 4 of the applicant's specification states that the claimed instructions can be stored on a carrier medium. Such a medium is non-statutory. Claims 31-33, 35-38, and 40-48 are directed apparatuses comprised only of means for performing tasks. The applicant's specification does not disclose any specific hardware means for performing the claimed tasks so therefore the claimed means can only be reasonable interpreted as software per se. Software per se does not fall into any of the statutory categories of invention.

09/811,129 Art Unit: 2142

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 49 recites the limitation "the method as recited in claim 48" in the first line of claim 49. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because claim 48 is actually directed towards an apparatus and not a method.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8, 10-16, 18-25, 27-33, 35-38, and 40-50 are allowed over the prior art.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The applicant's arguments filed on 8/16/2007 were persuasive. An updated search revealed no prior art that would render the claims unpatentable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

09/811,129 Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Douglas Blair
Jungh